

Talking points from the National Center for Science Education

You might find it helpful to tell your senator:

- SB 393 is **unnecessary**, since state science standards and policies already provide appropriate freedom to science teachers;
- SB 393 **removes accountability**, since it would make it impossible for administrators and school boards to restrain maverick teachers;
- SB 393 is so **vague** that it would engender unnecessary conflict, and even litigation, over science curriculum and instruction;
- SB 393 is **opposed by organizations of science teachers**, its supposed beneficiaries;
- SB 393's sponsor has acknowledged that it is aimed at the teaching of **evolution and climate change**, which are **not scientifically controversial**.

It's better for you to express your opinions in your own words, of course.